## NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

NFIB-9.2/60 13 February 1978

| MEMORANDUM FOR: National Foreign Intelligence Board  |               |
|--|---------------|
| FROM: Walter Elder Executive Secretary, National Foreign Intelligence Board  |               |
| SUBJECT: Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Policy  | 25X1          |
| 1. The attached memorandum from the Chairman is forwarded for your consideration.  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| 2. We are tentatively proposing 14 March as the date for NFIB to address the general subject of security of sensitive compartmented information. The meeting is likely to focus on, but need not be limited to, discussion of: | 25X1          |
| a. The proposed DCID on Compartmentation;  |               |
| <ul> <li>b. Administrative procedures for handling<br/>and controlling compartmented data;</li> </ul>  |               |
| <ul> <li>c. Policy on travel and assignment of persons<br/>with compartmented access;</li> </ul>   |               |
| d. Proposed DCID 1/16 on Computer Security.  |               |
| You are invited to forward to the Executive Secretary in advance of the meeting any general comments on the subject of compartmented information policy which you have not already made on one of the specific topics.         |               |
| Walter Elder   | 25X1          |
| Attachment: a/s  Copy 30 of 35   |               |
|  | 25X1          |

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/03/20 : CIA-RDP92B00181R001901710029-1

NFIB-9.2/60

## NATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE BOARD

9 February 1978

|  | y Fabruary 1710   |                           |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| MEMORANDUM FOR:  | National Foreign Intelligence Board   |                           |
| FROM:  | Stansfield Turner<br>Chairman   |                           |
| SUBJECT:   | Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Policy  | 25 <b>X</b> 1             |
| REFERENCES:  | A. NFIB-9.2/59, dated 12 July 1977 'B. NFIB-M-703, dated 25 September 1975  |                           |
| security of sen<br>review current<br>controls. Over<br>identified and<br>them This mem   | fter reviewing the Security Task Force Report on the ases, I instituted a number of actions aimed at improving sitive compartmented information. My objective was to security practices and institute more stringent security the past several months, specific problems have been new security procedures have been instituted to correct for and um reviews this activity and recommends additional or improve protection of sensitive information.   | <sup>2</sup> 25X1<br>25X1 |
| trial security. bolstered by the A program to confice on tract factions that such as the compart of the confice | Reveral important actions have been taken to tighten industrial security program of the CIA has been be addition of new industrial security officer positions. Some addition of new industrial security surveys and inspections and inspections are interested and inspections. A new procedure has been are requires the presence of two individuals in sensitive areas and industrial area and document control sections at industrial area at identifying and dealing with personnel problems are security problems. I have asked for a comprehensive gence assessment to determine what additional steps should be industrial area to tighten security in the face of the NFIB principals should evaluate their own industrial area to assess their adequacy and effectiveness. | 25X1                      |
| the management<br>by the Chairment<br>the present provarious compar-<br>to provide cen-<br>Security Commi-   | One major area of emphasis in the security review has been of sensitive compartmented information programs. Reviews n of the SIGINT Committee and COMIREX have indicated that ocedures for accounting for personnel cleared for the tmented programs are inadequate, and that a concerted effort tralized management is required. Work is underway in the ttee to develop a computerized management program designed eds of all agencies in the Intelligence Community. I will e Board further on this program, its implications, and   | 25X1                      |
|  |   | 25X1_                     |
|  |   | 1                         |

|                          |                      | •                            |                                    |                     |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Declassified in Part -   | Sanitized Cony Annro | wed for Release 2012/03/20   | : CIA-RDP92B00181R001901710        | 102Q <sub>-</sub> 1 |
| Deciassified III i alt - | Darmized Copy Appro  | 7 Ed 101 Nelease 20 12/05/20 | . CIA-NDI 32000 10 11100 130 17 10 | JUZ J- 1            |
|                          |                      | \r\.KF1                      |                                    | •                   |
|                          |                      |                              |                                    |                     |

2

| SUBJECT: Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Policy   | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
|---|---------------|
| A. Last summer I placed a freeze on the number of personnel having access to special compartmented information by requiring that personnel coming into the system would be matched by personnel being debriefed. The objective was to stabilize the numbers of persons having access to SCI and to focus Community attention on the fact that the principle of need-to-know must be practiced. This procedure has caused some problems, but overall it has had a salutary effect. The number of personnel cleared for access to sensitive information has stabilized. More importantly, requests for new clearances have been given a more thorough scrub at all stages in the approval process. While I am aware that briefing personnel into compartmented programs facilitates security by making them conscious of the sensitivity of the information made available to them, I am resolved to continue this stabilization and eventually show a downward trend in the number of personnel cleared. Consequently, continued compliance with the principle of one in, one out is required. There will be instances when the one in, one out concept will not be practical, for example, when new programs are initiated. Such exceptions will be considered on a case-by-case basis and subject to my ultimate approval. | 25X1          |
| The Chairmen of the SIGINT Committee and COMIREX are continuing to pursue the question of what materials and information now controlled in the COMINT and TALENT-KEYHOLE systems may be decompartmented so as to reduce the numbers of SCI access approvals.  | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |
| 6. While we have made some progress in improving security of sensitive information, considerably more remains to be done. I encourage   | 25 <b>X</b> 1 |

each NFIB Principal to offer suggestions they may have on ways to improve the overall security of sensitive compartmented information. I intend

to schedule an NFIB meeting to discuss this general subject soon.

STANSFIELD TURNER

**SECRET**